



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Am

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/005,749 7590	11/07/2001 06/14/2005	Glenn R. Engel	10003417-1	9066
			EXAMINER	
			PERUNGAVOOR, VENKATANARAY	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2132		
DATE MAILED: 06/14/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/005,749	ENGEL, GLENN R.
	Examiner Venkatanarayanan Perungavoor	Art Unit 2132

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 November 2001.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 07 November 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/6/2003</u> .	6) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other: <u>SAMPLE BLOCK DIAGRAM SHEET</u>

DETAILED ACTION

Specifications

1. The Examiner recommends the use of block diagrams to illustrate the functionality of the invention. A sample of a block diagram which the examiner believes is one possibility is enclosed. And the specifications needs to be changed to reflect the addition of the proposed new figure(i.e. *Brief Descriptions of Drawings, Detailed Description of the Invention, and etc.*). The Examiner cites MPEP 2106.02[R-1] as the basis for the proposed amendments.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
3. Claim 1 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 6311270 B1 to Challener et al.(hereinafter Challener)
4. Regarding Claim 1, The second data processor to send key to the third data processor using an second encryption protocol is met by Challener see Col. 2 Ln 49-57 & Col 1 Ln 66- Col 2 Ln 11; the third data processor forwarding the key to the first data processor is met by Challener see Col 5 Ln 20-47; the first

processor sending a message to the second data processor using the key and first encryption protocol is met by Challener see Col 5 Ln 48-Col 6 Ln 9(the second processor being the security program, and the third processor being the content provider, first processor being the content consumer see Col 2 Ln 12-48).

5. Regarding Claim 2, The "first data processor has insufficient computational resources to execute said second encryption protocol" is met by Challener see Col 4 Ln 67- Col 5 Ln 7 & Col 6 Ln 50-57.
6. Regarding Claim 3, The "second encryption protocol is a public key encryption protocol" is met by Challener see Col 5 Ln 33-38.
7. Regarding Claim 4, The "second data processor to send an encryption key is initiated in response to a message from said first data processor to said second data processor" is met by Challener see Col 1 Ln 60-Col 2 Ln 20.
8. Regarding Claim 5, The "insecure network segment comprises the Internet" is met by Challener Col 5 Ln 20-27.
9. Regarding Claim 6, The "network segment connecting said first and third data processors comprises a local area network" is met by Challener see Col 2 Ln 61-64.

10. Regarding Claim 7, The "first and third data processors are connected by a network segment that has a higher level of security than said insecure network segment" is met by Challener see Col 2 Ln 53-57.

11. Regarding Claim 8, The "first encryption protocol requires less computational resources than said second encryption protocol" is met by Challener see Col 5 Ln 33-47.

Conclusion

12. The following patents are cited to further show the state of art in general:

U.S. Patent 6151677 to Walter et al.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Venkatanarayanan Perungavoor whose telephone number is 571-272-7213. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-4:30. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on 571-272-3799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

14. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Venkatanarayanan Perungavoor
Examiner
Art Unit 2132

VP
6/2/2005


GILBERTO BARRÓN JR.
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100




FIGURE 3